Biotherapeutics Interest Group Workshop 64th ASMS Conference and Allied Topics, June 5 - June 9, 2016, San Antonio, TX Damian Houde, Ph.D. and Ashley Ruth, Ph.D.

The Biotherapeutics Interest Group (formerly the Protein Therapeutics Interest Group) workshop, entitled "Characterization of Protein Therapeutics by Mass Spectrometry", was held from 5:45 PM to 7:00 PM on Wednesday, Jun. 8, 2016. Approximately 150 people attended the workshop.

The primary goal of the workshop was to inspire and promote discussion on the use of mass spectrometry in the biopharamaceutical industry. We hoped an open a free-form conversation would allow the audience to speak freely and learn from one another. A pre-conference survey was created using SurveyMonkey to provide potential discussion topics for conversation within the workshop (see survey below). The workshop started with a brief introduction from the co-chairs, and then a panel of five selected industrial professionals joined the stage (Charles Cheng, Amgen; Guodong Chen, Bristol-Myers Squibb; Sarah Rogstad, FDA/CDER/OTR/DPA; Jacquelynn Smith, Pfizer; Martin Eysberg, Antec). The panelists introduced themselves and the discussion began on the most relevant survey topics of interest to the workshop. A few slides from the survey results, included below, on various topics related to protein therapeutics characterization served as a starting point for our discussion.

The discussion began with a focus on "emerging technologies". There was a healthy debate on how to define when/if a technology is emerging vs. has emerged. Technologies such as covalent labeling and hydrogen/deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) were used as examples. Several people from various companies suggested that techniques such as HDX-MS have already emerged, while techniques like top-down analysis are still emerging. Some of the audience engaged the FDA representatives into the discussion, specifically asking their opinion on and inquiring into how many filings (to their knowledge) contain "emerging/ed" techniques such as HDX-MS. The representatives from the FDA are only able to offer their opinion and not the opinion of the FDA; nevertheless they did share information on the number of filings with these techniques. One filing contained top-down analysis and three contained HDX-MS analysis. Some of the audience used this as evidence that these techniques are still emerging and not yet emerged. After some discussion (25-30 minutes), it appeared as though there was no clear definition on how to define an emerging vs. emerged technology and at this point, it is still very subjective.

Our conversation then moved on to discuss the use of quantitative mass spectrometry as a multi-attribute method (MAM) for characterization, quality control testing and disposition of biologics. Several people reiterated that mass spectrometry plays a critical role in biopharmaceutical characterization in discovery through development and onto commercialization and MAM has the potential to replace several conventional electrophoretic and/or chromatographic methods currently used in QC to release therapeutic molecules. They suggested that MAM represents an optimized analytical solution, which can help focus on the attributes of the therapeutic molecule that are essential for function and implement QbD principles across process development and manufacturing. Many others in the audience disagreed with this and felt that methods such as MAM cannot replace the profiling of attributes such as charge distribution by imaging isoelectric focusing and/or aggregation by SEC.

Overall, the audience was very engaged and there was a lot of informative discussion. The workshop was adjourned around 7pm. Next year, Ashley (Gucinski) Ruth will be joined by Charles Cheng from Amgen as the Biotherapeutics Interest Group workshop organizers.

Slides presented to the audience at the Biotherapeutics Workshop.

Biotherapeutics Interest Group Workshop

Characterization of Protein Therapeutics by Mass Spectrometry



Damian Houde Biogen Ashley Ruth FDA/CDER/DPA*

*Disclaimer: Any comments reflect the views of the author and should not be construed to represent the FDA's views or policies.

Panelist Introductions

3

- Guilong (Charles) Cheng, Amgen
- Guodong Chen, Bristol-Myers Squibb
- Sarah Rogstad, FDA/CDER/OTR/DPA
- Jacquelynn Smith, Pfizer
- Martin Eysberg, Antec

Workshop Focus Areas

• Emerging Technologies - where are they used?



• Comparability - is mass spectrometry used?

No, MS is not used											
Yes, MS is used standalone											
Yes, MS is used with other methods								Ľ.			
Yes, but MS data is not used for regulatory submissions		Ľ.									
Other (please specify)											
	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%

Survey Questions for Discussion: Emerging Technologies

- Are emerging technologies more suitable for early or late stage biotherapeutics characterization?
- What are the largest challenges (technical and/or regulatory) that may hinder the use of MS for biotherapeutics?

5

- Are currently available software packages sufficient to properly use this data?
- How should this data be displayed to nonexperts?

Survey Questions for Discussion: Emerging Technologies

- What role can emerging technologies play in HCP, SVA or trisulfide analysis?
- What impact could the integration of CE-MS play for biotherapeutics analysis?
- Do you see a value in IMS or differential mobility to improve workflows?
- What are the limitations of using HDX for comparability assessments?

Survey Questions for Discussion: Comparability

6

7

- How can we define the scope of comparability? What tests are necessary for biotherapeutic characterization?
- What role can MS play in determining comparability? How does this differ for determining biosimilarity or interchangeability?
- Does this include emerging technologies (ion mobility, H/DX, etc.)?
- What considerations should be made when displaying differences in quality attributes to nonspecialists?

Biotherapeutics workshop survey and results

Vaccines Recombinant Proteins

ASMS - 2016 Biotherapeutics Interest Group Workshop

			•7 responses
			73 days (4/1/2016 - now)
Answered: 57 Skipped: 0	ocus?		5 views
Academic /			
Non-profit			
Government			
Industry - Discovery			
Industry - Development			
Industry -			
Pre-clinical			
Industry - Combination			
Other Interne			
specity)			
076 1076 2076 3076 4076 5076 60	1% 70% 80%	90% 100%	
עס אדעים אדעים אדעים אדעין אדעי	1% 70% 80%	90% 100%	
Una IUna 2016 3016 4016 5016 50	Responses	90% 100%	
Answer Choices Academic / Non-profit Institution	Responses 22.81%	90% 100%	
Answer Choices Academic / Non-profit Institution Government	% 70% 80% Responses 22.81% 0.00% 0.00%	90% 100% 13 0	
Answer Choices Academic / Non-profit Institution Government Industry - Discovery	Responses 22.81% 0.00% 17.54%	90% 100% 13 0 10	
Answer Choices Academic / Non-profit Institution Government Industry - Discovery Industry - Development	70% 80% Responses 22.81% 0.00% 17.54% 33.33%	90% 100% 13 0 10 19	
Answer Choices Academic / Non-profit Institution Government Industry - Discovery Industry - Development Industry - Pre-clinical	Responses 22.81% 0.00% 17.54% 33.33% 5.26%	90% 100% 13 0 10 19 3	
Answer Choices Academic / Non-profit Institution Government Industry - Discovery Industry - Development Industry - Pre-clinical Industry - Combination of Above	70% 80% Responses 22.81% 0.00% 17.54% 33.33% 5.26% 19.30% 19.30%	90% 100% 13 0 10 19 3 11	
Answer Choices Academic / Non-profit Institution Government Industry - Discovery Industry - Pre-clinical Industry - Combination of Above Other (please specify) Responses	70% 80% Responses 22.81% 0.90% 17.54% 33.33% 5.26% 19.30% 1.75%	90% 100% 13 0 10 19 3 11 1	
Answer Choices Academic / Non-profit Institution Government Industry - Discovery Industry - Pre-clinical Industry - Pre-clinical Industry - Combination of Above Other (please specify) Responses Total	% 70% 80% Responses 22.81% 0.00% 17.54% 33.33% 5.26% 19.30% 1.75%	90% 100% 13 0 10 19 3 11 1 57	
Answer Choices Academic / Non-profit Institution Government Industry - Discovery Industry - Development Industry - Pre-clinical Industry - Combination of Above Other (please specify) Responses Total	70% 80% Responses 22.81% 0.00% 17.54% 33.33% 5.26% 19.30% 1.75%	90% 100% 13 0 10 19 3 11 1 57	
Answer Choices Academic / Non-profit Institution Government Industry - Discovery Industry - Pre-clinical Industry - Pre-clinical Industry - Combination of Above Other (please specify) Responses Total	70% 80% Responses 22.81% 0.00% 17.54% 33.33% 5.26% 19.30% 1.75%	90% 100% 13 0 10 19 3 11 1 57	
Answer Choices Academic / Non-profit Institution Government Industry - Discovery Industry - Development Industry - Pre-clinical Industry - Combination of Above Other (please specify) Responses Total	% 70% 80% Responses 22.81% 0.00% 17.54% 33.33% 5.26% 19.30% 1.75%	90% 100% 13 0 10 19 3 11 1 57	
Uni 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60 Answer Choices Academic / Non-profit institution Government Industry - Discovery Industry - Development Industry - Pre-clinical Industry - Combination of Above Other (please specify) Total What is your specific biotherape	% 70% 80% Responses 22.81% 0.00% 17.54% 33.33% 5.26% 19.30% 1.75%	90% 100% 13 0 10 10 19 3 11 1 57	
Answer Choices Academic / Non-profit Institution Government Industry - Discovery Industry - Development Industry - Pre-clinical Industry - Combination of Above Other (please specify) Responses Total What is your specific biotherape area?	% 70% 80% Responses 22.81% 0.00% 17.54% 33.33% 5.26% 19.30% 1.75%	90% 100% 13 0 10 19 3 11 1 57	
Answer Choices Academic / Non-profit institution Government Industry - Discovery Industry - Development Industry - Pre-clinical Industry - Combination of Above Other (please specify) Responses Total What is your specific biotherape area? Answered: 57 Skipped: 0	% 70% 80% Responses 22.81% 0.00% 17.54% 33.33% 5.26% 19.30% 1.75%	90% 100% 13 0 10 19 3 11 1 57	
Answer Choices Academic / Non-profit Institution Government Industry - Discovery Industry - Development Industry - Pre-clinical Industry - Pre-clinical Industry - Combination of Above Other (please specify) Responses Total What is your specific biotherape area? Answered: 57 Skipped: 0 Antibodies	% 70% 80% Responses 22.81% 0.00% 17.54% 33.33% 6.26% 19.30% 1.75%	90% 100% 13 0 10 19 3 11 1 57	

Q2	
v	/hat is your specific biotherapeutic focus area?
	Answered: 57 Skipped: 0
Antibodies	
ADCa	
Biosimilars	
Vaccines	
Recombinant Proteins	





Q4

What do you use mass spectrometry for? (Choose all that apply)



Go batch release	10.20 %	
PKDM - in vivo samples	15.79%	9
Total Respondents: 57		





Answer Choices		Responses	
Characterization		84.91%	4
QC .		26.42%	1
Discovery		49.06%	2
Development		60.38%	3
Other (please specify)	Responses	3.77%	
Total Respondents: 53			



Q8

This year's workshop will focus on two areas: emerging MS technologies for biotherapeutics characterization and comparability. Do you have any questions you would like the panel to address?

Answered: 17 Skipped: 40





What other topics would you like this workshop to address about biotherapeutics characterization this year or in the future?		
Answered: 17 Skipped: 40		
None 4/11/2016 10:37 AM	^	
Intact Analysis for mAbs, ADC's, HCPs, Proteins, and Peptide Mapping using the CESI 8000 PLUS System for front end separation. 48/2018 11:28 AM		
Intact Analysis for mAbs, ADC's, HCPs, Proteins, and Peptide Mapping using the CESI 8000 PLUS System for front end separation. 446/2018 11:26 AM 1. Disruptive technologies for de novo sequencing 2. Top-down HDX methods 466/2016 10.10 AM	L	
Intact Analysis for mAbs, ADC's, HCPs, Proteins, and Peptide Mapping using the CESI 8000 PLUS System for front end separation. 48/2018 11:28 AM 1. Disruptive technologies for de novo sequencing 2. Top-down HDX methods 48/2018 010 AM 1] The REALITIES of moving high res MS to QC; 2] Living with Imperfect science but perfect reproducibility 48/2018 8:58 AM		
Intact Analysis for mAbs, ADC's, HCPs, Proteins, and Peptide Mapping using the CESI 8000 PLUS System for front end separation. 48/2018 11:28 AM 1. Disruptive technologies for de novo sequencing 2. Top-down HDX methods 48/2018 10:10 AM 1] The REALITIES of moving high res MS to QC; 2] Living with imperfect science but perfect reproducibility 48/2018 8:58 AM applications 48/2018 8:52 AM		
Intact Analysis for mAbs, ADC's, HCPs, Proteins, and Peptide Mapping using the CESI 8000 PLUS System for front end separation. 4462018 11:28 AM 1. Disruptive technologies for de novo sequencing 2. Top-down HDX methods 4462018 10:10 AM 1] The REALITIES of moving high res MS to QC; 2] Living with Imperfect science but perfect reproducibility 4462018 3:58 AM applications 4462018 3:52 AM PK of ADCs in plasma using MS 4462019 2:73 AM		